# COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF PROGRAMS WITH THE USE OF NATIVE AND CRYOPRESERVED OOCYTES IN IN -VIRTO FERTILIZATION PROCEDURES

K.T. Nigmetova<sup>1</sup>, Sh.K. Karibaeva<sup>1</sup>, A.T. Abshekenova<sup>1</sup>, A.N. Rybina<sup>1</sup>, A.A. Begimbaeva<sup>1</sup>, L.B. Dzhansugurova<sup>2</sup>, V.N. Lokshin<sup>1</sup>

> <sup>1</sup>International Clinical Center for Reproduction PERSONA <sup>2</sup>Institute of General Genetics and Cytology Kazakhstan, Almaty

#### ANNOTATION

This article release data about comparative survival of oocytes after freezing, fertilization, blastocyst formation and clinical results of IVF/ICSI programs in International Clinical Centre of Reproductology Persona during period from 2016 to 2019.

Key words: IVF, ICSI, vitrification, oocyte, donation, cryopreservation, blastocyst.

*Abbreviations:* TVP - transvaginal puncture, OP - cancellation of transfers, PE - transfer of embryos, CNB - frequency of pregnancy, hCG - chorionic gonadotropin, IVF - in vitro fertilization, ICSI - intracytoplasmic injection of one sperm.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Due to the vetrification method, embryo cryopreservation has been a routine and effective procedure in ART programs(1,2,16) over the past decades. Until recently, the oocyte cryopreservation procedure was considered experimental due to the fragility of the oocyte and its inability to tolerate the slow freezing process. Using the vetrification method has made significant changes to reproduction. New data demonstrate a high survival rate of oocytes after thawing. Since October 2012, the Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) and the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technologies have determined that oocyte freezing and thawing procedures shall no longer be considered experimental(3).

Oocyte cryopreservation technology has become an extremely important option for preserving the fertility of patients undergoing surgical and chemotherapeutic treatment for oncological diseases (3-5), as well as for women who plan to delay maternity. It has been proven that the age of the oocyte at the time of extraction has a stronger effect on pregnancy than the age of a woman who plans to have the pregnancy (6,7).

Oocyte freezing is a popular procedure in the ART program using donor oocytes. This greatly simplifies the organization of the use of donor material: it allows the formation of oocyte donor banks and eliminates the need for synchronization of the menstrual cycles of the donor and recipient (3).

The data on the effectiveness of IVF/ICSI programs with cryopreserved donor oocytes are varied. So, Kushnir et al. (8) found that the program using donor cryopreserved oocytes had a live birth rate of 9.0% (47.1%) less than fresh cycles (56.1%); perhaps this was due to the fact that the study did not take into account factors that could affect birth rates (husband's sperm counts, couple's age, etc.). Several other studies have shown that programs using frozen oocytes had close efficiency factors with cycles where fresh oocytes were used. (9-13). There are also studies where programs with fresh and cryopreserved oocytes of the same donor were compared, but different recipients. Their results showed identical birth rate in both groups (14, 15, 17).

#### **PURPOSE OF THE STUDY**

Compare rates of fertilization, fragmentation, blastulation, pregnancy (PR) in IVF/ICSI programs using vitrified and fresh donor oocytes.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

We conducted a continuous study of 307 IVF/ICSI programs in couples diagnosed with infertility using donor oocytes from 2016 to 2019 on the basis of the International Clinical Center for Reproduction PERSONA.

Indication for the use of donor oocytes were: premature ovarian exhaustion or a decrease in the ovarian reserve of the recipients, an age factor, several unsuccessful IVF programs with recipient's own cells, genetic or chromosome pathologies of the recipient, when the use of their own oocytes was impossible.

All patients were divided into 2 groups: the 1 group using fresh donor oocytes (129 programs) and the 2 group using vitrified donor oocytes (178 programs).

The average age of the donors who participated in this study was  $28 \pm 3.5$ . Various protocols were used to stimulate

superovulation: a short protocol, a protocol with GnRH antagonists, and a protocol with micronized progesterone.

The ovulation induction protocol was selected individually for each donor. Stimulation began from the 2nd-3rd day of the menstrual cycle. Follicular growth was monitored by transvaginal ultrasound and hormonal monitoring. Upon reaching a minimum of three follicles with a diameter of 19 -20 mm, an ovulation trigger was introduced (hCG 10,000 IU or GnRH agonists 0.2 mg), transvaginal puncture of the follicles and oocyte recovery were performed after 34-36 hours.

A total of 124 transvaginal puncture (TVP) programs were completed in 67 oocyte donors. Some of the obtained oocytes were distributed between the recipients, some were cryopreserved for asynchronous donorship. Oocyte freezing was performed 2–3 hours after TVP.

For cryopreservation and thawing of oocytes, the Dr. Kuvayama's method of vitrification was used (16). Commercial kits (Vitrification and Warming KIT, Kitazato, Japan) were used throughout the study.

After thawing, the oocytes were transferred to Sage 1 Step culture medium with HAS (0.1 ml Origio, Denmark) coated with mineral oil (Liquid paraffin Origio, Denmark) under conditions of 6% CO2, 5% O2, 89% N2 and a temperature of 37 °C in a SOOC Benchtob incubator for pre-incubation. After 1.5-2 hours, the oocytes were fertilized by ICSI method.

Fertilization of fresh oocytes was carried out either by the classical IVF method (kIVF), or using ICSI 2-4 hours after TVP. They were cultivated in universal IVF medium (0.1 ml; IVF Universal, Denmark) or Sage 1 Step culture medium with HAS (0.1 ml Origio, Denmark) coated with mineral oil (Liquid paraffin Origio, Denmark) under 6% CO2, 5 % O2, 89% N2 and a temperature of 37 °C in a Benchtob SOOC incubator.

Fertilization was evaluated after 16–20 hours, and the presence of two pronuclei was considered a normal fertilized zygote. Embryos were cultured in microdrops with a volume of 50  $\mu$ l (Sage 1STEP; Origio, Denmark) under mineral

Клиническая эмбриология

oil ((Liquid paraffin; Origio, Denmark) in Oosafe plates (SparMedApS, Denmark).

Embryos were evaluated on the first, third, and fifth days of cultivation. Embryo transfer was carried out on the 3rd or 5th day. The remaining embryos, after receiving the informed consent of the recipients, were frozen by vitrification method.

Modern packages of statistical analysis MS Excel on PC were used to carry out the analysis of calculations. The data obtained during the study were subjected to statistical processing by the method of variation statistics using the online calculator www.medstatistic.ru. Arithmetic mean (M) and standard deviation ( $\pm$  SD) were calculated for quantitative indices, the data was presented as M  $\pm$  SD. Two-sided Student t-test was used to compare mean values. Absolute (n) and relative (%) values describe qualitative variables. The  $\chi 2$  criterion was used to compare frequencies and qualitative variables.

#### RESULTS

From 2016 to December 2019, 309 programs with donor oocytes were carried out. In group I, 129 patients with native donor oocytes and in group II 178 patients with thawed donor oocytes.

Table 2 shows the characteristics of the recipients and the results of embryology.

The average count of oocytes in the first group was 35% higher ( $6.98 \pm 2.64$  oocytes) than the average count in the second group ( $4.56 \pm 2.1$ ), but the difference was not significant statistically. Oocyte survival after thawing procedure reached 87.8%.

Fertilization rates were similar in both groups (78% versus 76%, respectively, p> 0.05). However, crushing rates and the growth rate of blastocysts in the groups had a significant statistical difference. The fragmentation in the first group was 98%, in the second group - 91.7% ( $\chi 2 = 30.792$ , p <0.001). The blastulation frequency on the 5th day of cultivation in the first group was 59%, in the second group - 39%, which had a significant statistical difference ( $\chi 2 = 47.694$ , P <0.001).

Characteristics	I group with native (fresh) oocytes	II group with vitrified (frozen) oocytes	P value
Number of programs	129	178	
The average age of the recipient, years	42,1±6,16	41,8±5,8	p=0,971
Oocytecount(MII)	901	924	
The average count of oocytes per patient	6,98±2,64	4,56±2,1	P=0,478
% thawingprocedure	-	87,8%	
% fertilization	78%	76%	P=0,340
% fragmentation	98%	91,7%	P<0,001
% reaching a blastocyst	59%	39%	p<0.001

Table 1 - Characterization of embryological results of the programs with fresh and vitrified donor oocytes.

Characteristics	Native (fresh) oocytes	Vitrified (frozen) oocytes	P value
Totalembryo transfer	80	93	
% embryotransferonday 3	12,5%	48%	P=20,008
% embryotransferonday 5	87,5%	52%	
The average number of embryos per transfer	1,3±0,476	1,4±0,597	P=0,896
Embryocryopreservation%	76%	46%	P=12,994
HCG%	46%	36%	P=0,237
Pregnancyrate%	45%	35%	P=0,197

*Table 2 - Clinical results of the cycles performed with fresh and vitrified donor oocytes.* 

Embryo transfer in Group 1 was performed in 80 patients and in Group 2 - 93 patients. The average count of embryos for transfer in both groups was not statistically different (1.3  $\pm$  0.476 versus 1.4  $\pm$  0.597). The number of cases with a positive level of  $\beta$ -hCG in the blood 14 days after ET and the pregnancy rate in the groups with fresh and vitrified oocytes did not significantly differ in the groups and amounted to 46% against 36%, and 45% against 35%, respectively.

Group	Cancellationofembryo transfers						
	No fertilization	No fragmentation	Stagnation	Cryopreservation of embryos	Total		
1 group	-	-	2 (4.3%)	45 (95.7%)	47 (36.4%)		
2 group	1	1	20 (25%)	58 (72.5%)	80 (44.9%)		

Table 3 - Reasons for canceling embryo transfers in the programs with fresh and vitrified oocytes.

The number of transfer cancellations in group 1 was 47 (36.4%): of which 2 cases (4.3%) were associated with embryostagnation (on day 5 of cultivation), and 45 patients underwent cryopreservation of embryos in connection with segmentation of the cycle.In group 2, Transfer cancellation was 80 (44.9%): of which 20 cases (25%) were associated with stagnation(in two cases there was no fertilization, in one case there was no fragmentation, in the remaining cases there was no reaching the blastocyst), 58 cases (72.5%) were associated with cryopreservation of embryos.

#### **INFERENCE**

In the course of the study, we established the absence of statistically significant differences in fertilization rates in groups using native and cryopreserved donor oocytes.

There was a significant difference in the percentage of fragmentation and blastulation in favor of programs with fresh donor oocytes, which may be the result of incomplete restoration of oocyte organelles after thawing procedure. But the survival rate was 87.8%, which corresponds to the data of

world clinics. It was established that the average number of thawed oocytes transferred to recipients for fertilization was lower by 35% compared to fresh oocytes.

The difference in the frequency of pregnancy was 10% more in group 1 with fresh oocytes than in group 2 using vitrified oocytes, but it was statistically insignificant, which allows the use of oocyte cryopreservation technology at the embryological stage in ART programs without significantly reducing the chances of getting pregnancy.

#### CONCLUSION

To increase the effectiveness of IVF programs, we recommend using from 8-9 vitrified donor oocytes.

Strictly assess the morphological characteristics of donor oocytes before the vitrification procedure.

Make a careful selection of donors for further stimulation and freezing of oocytes, taking into account the criteria of cryotolerance, fertilization, crushing, growth to blastocysts and BNB.

#### REFERENCES

- Kuwayama, M. Highly efficient vitrification method for cryopreservation of human oocytes / M. Kuwayama, G. Vajta, O. Kato et al. // Reprod Biomed Online. 2005. Vol.11. #3. P.300–308. DOI: 10.1016/s1472-6483(10)60837-1.
- Best, B.P. Cryoprotectant toxicity: facts, issues, and questions / B.P. Best // Rejuvenation Res. 2015 Vol.8. #5. P.422-436. DOI:10.1089/rej.2014.1656.
- 3. The Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine, Society for Assisted Reproductive

Technologies. Cryopreservation of Mature Oocytes: A Guide. Fertil Steril. - 2013. - Vol. 99. - R.37-43. DOI: doi. org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.09.028.

- Romualdo Sciorio, Cryopreservation of human embryos and oocytes for fertility preservation in ca ncer and non cancer patients. Gynecological Endocrinology. – 2020. - Vol.36. -#5. – R.381-388. doi. 10.1080/09513590.
- 5. Barclay E. More women are freezing their eggs, but will they ever use them? Shots: health news from NPR. -2015. at: www. npr.org/sections/health shots/2015/11/24/456671203/more-women-are-freezing-their-eggs-but-will-they-ever-use-them.
- Devine K., Mumford S. L., Goldman K. N., Hodes-Wertz B., Drakenmiller S., Propst A. M. et al. Budgeting a child: oocyte cryopreservation in women, delaying reproduction, can reduce the cost of live births. Fertil Steril. 2015. # 103. R.1446–53. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2015.02.029.
- Mezen T.B., Merzero J.E., Kane J.B., Steiner A.Z. Optimal dates for selective freezing of eggs. Fertil Steril. 2015. #103. R.1551–6. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2015.03.002.
- Kushnir VA, Barad DH, Albertini DF, Darmon SK, Gleicher N. Outcomes of fresh and cryopreserved oocyte donation. JAMA. – 2015. -#314. R.6234. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.7556.
- Goldman KN, Noyes NL, Knopman JM, McCaffrey C, Grifo JA. Oocyte efficiency: does live birth rate differ when analyzing cryopreserved and fresh oocytes on a per-oocyte basis? Fertil Steril. -2013. -#100. – R.712–7. doi: 10.1016/j. fertnstert.2013.04.040.
- Almodin CG, Minguetti-Camara VC, Paixao CL, Pereira PC. Embryo development and gestation using fresh and vitrified oocytes. Hum Reprod. – 2010. #25. R.1192–8. doi: 10.1093/humrep/deq042.
- Garcia JI, Noriega-Portella L, Noriega-Hoces L. Efficacy of oocyte vitrification combined with blastocyst stage transfer in an egg donation program. Hum Reprod. -2011. - #26. – R.782–90. doi: 10.1093/humrep/der008.
- Cobo A, Meseguer M, Remohi J, Pellicer A. Use of cryo-banked oocytes in an ovum donation programme: a prospective, randomized, controlled, clinical trial. Hum Reprod. – 2010. - #25. R.2239–46. doi: 10.1093/humrep/deq146.
- Rienzi L, Romano S, Albricci L, Maggiulli R, Capalbo A, Baroni E, et al. Embryo development of fresh 'versus' vitrified metaphase II oocytes after ICSI: a prospective randomized sibling-oocyte study. Hum Reprod. – 2010. - #25. R.66–73. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dep346.
- Sole M, Santalo J, Boada M, Clua E, Rodriguez I, Martinez F, et al. How does vitrification affect oocyte viability in oocyte donation cycles? A prospective study to compare outcomes achieved with fresh versus vitrifed sibling oocytes. Hum Reprod. 2013. #28. R.2087–92. doi: 10.1093/humrep/det242.
- Trokoudes KM, Pavlides C, Zhang X. Comparison outcome of fresh and vitrified donor oocytes in an egg-sharing donation program. Fertil Steril. – 2011. - #95. R.1996–2000. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2011.02.035.
- Cobo A, Kuwayama M, Perez S, Ruiz A, Pellicer A, Remohi J. Comparison of concomitant outcome achieved with fresh and cryopreserved donor oocytes vitrified by the Cryotop method. Fertil Steril. – 2008. - #89. R.1657–64. DOI: 10.1016/j. fertnstert.2007.05.050.
- 17. Parmegiani L, Cognigi GE, Bernardi S, Cuomo S, Ciampaglia W, Infante FE, et al. Efficiency of aseptic open vitrification and hermetical cryostorage of human oocytes. Reprod Biomed Online. 2011. #23. R.505–12. doi: 10.1016/j. rbmo.2011.07.003.
- Baykoshkarova S.B., Otarbaeva M.K., Rahmetova N.G. Issledovanie kachestvennyih pokazateley ootsitov cheloveka, kak kriteriya uspeshnoy realizatsii vitrifikatsii v programmah vspomogatelnyih reproduktivnyih tehnologiy. Reproduktivnaya meditsina. – 2019. - #3. - R.41
- Elliott, G.D. Cryoprotectants: a review of the actions and applications of cryoprotective solutes that modulate cell recovery from ultra-low temperatures / G.D. Elliott, S. Wang, B.J. Fuller // Cryobiology. – 2017. – Vol.76. – P. 74–91.
- 20. Isachenko V, Todorov P, Seisenbayeva A, et al. Vitrification of human pronuclear oocytes by direct plunging into cooling agent: non ster

## РЕЗЮМЕ

# СРАВНИТЕЛЬНЫЙ АНАЛИЗ ПРОГРАММ С ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЕМ НАТИВНЫХ И ВИТРИФИЦИРОВАННЫХ ООЦИТОВ В ПРОЦЕДУРАХ ЭКСТРАКОРПОРАЛЬНОГО ОПЛОДОТВОРЕНИЯ

# К.Т. Нигметова<sup>1</sup>, Ш.К. Карибаева<sup>1</sup>, А.Т. Абшекенова<sup>1</sup>, А.Н. Рыбина<sup>1</sup>, А.А. Бегимбаева<sup>1</sup>, Л.Б. Джансугурова<sup>2</sup>, В.Н. Локшин<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Международный клинический центр репродукции PERSONA <sup>2</sup>Институт общей генетики и цитологий Казахстан, Алматы

В статье приведены данные сравнения выживаемости ооцитов после разморозки, оплодотворения, частоты дорастания до бластоцисты и клинические результаты программ ЭКО/ИКСИ с использованием свежих и витрифицированных донорских ооцитов на базе МКЦР PERSONA в период с 2016 по 2019 г.

Ключевые слова: ЭКО, ИКСИ, витрификация, ооцит, донорство, криоконсервация, бластоциста.

# ТҮЙІНДЕМЕ

## НАТИВТЫ ЖӘНЕ КАТЫРЫЛҒАН ООЦИТТЕРДІ ҚОЛДАНУ АРҚЫЛЫ ДЕНЕДЕН ТЫС ҰРЫКТАНДЫРУ БАҒДАРЛАМАЛАРЫН САЛЫСТЫРУ

К.Т. Нигметова<sup>1</sup>, Ш.К. Кәрібаева<sup>1</sup>, А.Т. Абшекенова<sup>1</sup>, А.Н. Рыбина<sup>1</sup>, А.А. Бегімбаева<sup>1</sup>, Л.Б. Жансүгірова<sup>2</sup>, В.Н. Локшин<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PERSONA репродукциясының халықаралық клиникалық орталығы <sup>2</sup>Жалпы генетика және цитология институты Қазақстан, Алматы

Мақалада 2016 жылдан бастап 2019 жылға дейін PERSONA ICRC негізінде жаңа және витрификацияланған донорлық ооциттерді қолданумен IVF / ICSI бағдарламаларының клиникалық нәтижелері және ерітілгеннен, ұрықтанудан кейін ооциттердің өмір сүруін салыстыратын мәліметтер келтірілген.

Түйін сөздер: ЭКҰ, ИКСИ, витрификация, ооцит, донор болу, криоконсервация, бластоциста.